

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/731,353	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SPRAGUE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Henry M Johnson, III	<b>Art Unit</b> 3739	

**All Participants:**

(1) Henry M Johnson, III.

(2) Arthur Gooding.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 March 2005

**Time:** 11:17 AM EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Double patenting*

**Claims discussed:**

6


**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreed to file a terminal disclaimer. Minor changes to claims 4 and 6 to correct antecedent issue and add patent numbers to continuity information.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)